

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | G DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 09/776,568 | 02/0 | 2/2001 | Elliot R. Ramberg | 03038-0243 (42892-252947) | 7948 |
| 23370 | 7590 | 11/19/2002 | | | |
| JOHN S. PI | RATT, ESQ | | EXAMINER | | |
| | ITREE STR | • | LU, FRANK WEI MIN | | |
| SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Tribinitin, Gri 50507 | | | | 1634 | 11 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002 | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 1 1 | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/776,568 | 3 | RAMBERG, ELLIOT R. | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Frank W Lu | , · | 1634 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status 1\⊠ | Posponsive to communication(s) filed on 26 / | August 2002 | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 August 2002</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 2a)∐ 3)□ | ·— | | | neacution as to the marits is | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| - 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5,9 and 11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-8,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election red | quirement. | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>7</u> . | | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/776,568 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Applicant's election of species in Paper No. is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Note that "ICP' is abbreviations. They can only be used after each phrase appears once. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1

Application/Control Number: 09/776,568 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 6. Claim 1 is rejected as vague and indefinite because preamble of the claim (the goal of the claim) does not match with the method steps. Note that there is no detection step in the claim.

 Please clarify.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected as vague and indefinite because preamble of the claim (the goal of the claim) does not match with the method steps. Note that there is no detection step in the claim.

 Please clarify.
- 8. Claim 12 is rejected as vague and indefinite because preamble of the claim (the goal of the claim) does not match with the method steps. Note that there is no detection step in the claim.

 Please clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Juhl *et al.*, (Cancer Research, 55, 5749s-5755, December 1, 1995).

Application/Control Number: 09/776,568 Page 4

Art Unit: 1634

Juhl *et al.*, teach that a monoclonal antibody-Cobra venom factor conjugate increases the tumor-specific uptake of a ^{99m}Tc-labeled anti-carcinoembryonic antigen antibody by a two step approach. In this assay, human pancreatic cancer PancTu I cells were incubated with CA19-9-CRF (cobra venom factor) conjugate. CA19-9 was a monoclonal antibody (mab) which bound to a carbohydrate antigen frequently expressed on mucins of pancreatic cancer (see page s 5749s and 5750s). CA19-9-CRF conjugate was known induced complement-mediated cancer cell lysis, as well as cytotoxic mab, which activated the classical pathway of complement as recited in claims 1, 4, 8, 10, and 12 (see left column of page 5754s). C3a release in the classical pathway of complement was be detected by Western Blot and immunohistochemistry (see page s 5750s and right column in page 5751s). According to the specification (see page 25), C3a was considered as considered as ICP as recited in claims 1, 7, 8, and 12. Note that the carbohydrate antigen expressed on mucins of pancreatic cancer was considered as a specific antigen marker as recited in claims 1, 2, 8, and 12 since it bound to CA 19-9 in CA19-9-CRF conjugate.

Therefore, Juhl et al., teach all limitations recited in claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 10, and 12.

Conclusion

- 12. No claim is allowed.
- 13. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG

Art Unit: 1634

94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is (703) 605-1237.

Frank Lu November 12, 2002 ETHAN C. WHISENANT PRIMARY EXAMINER